v.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Roger Perry Riester,

Petitioner,

David Shinn, et al.,

Respondents.

No. CV-22-00118-PCT-GMS (DMF)

ORDER

and

DENIAL OF CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY AND IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS

Pending before the court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of Magistrate Judge Deborah M. Fine (Doc. 11) regarding petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1). The R&R recommends that the Petition be dismissed with prejudice. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R. (R&R at 14 (citing Rules 6, 72, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)). No objections were filed.

Because the parties did not file objections, the court need not review any of the Magistrate Judge's determinations on dispositive matters. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) ("[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). The absence of a timely objection also means that error may not be assigned on appeal to any defect in the rulings of the Magistrate Judge on any non-dispositive matters. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a) ("A party may serve and file objections to the order within 14 days after being served with a

1	copy [of the magistrate's order]. A party may not assign as error a defect in the order not
2	timely objected to."); Simpson v. Lear Astronics Corp., 77 F.3d 1170, 1174 (9th Cir. 1996);
3	Phillips v. GMC, 289 F.3d 1117, 1120-21 (9th Cir. 2002).
4	Notwithstanding the absence of an objection, the Court has reviewed the R&R and
5	finds that it is well taken. The Court will accept the R&R and dismiss the Petition. See 28
6	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole
7	or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate").
8	IT IS ORDERED that Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge
9	(Doc.11) is accepted.
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment denying
11	and dismissing petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
12	§ 2254 (Doc. 1) with prejudice. The Clerk shall terminate this action.
13	A request for a certificate of appealability will be denied because dismissal of the
14	Petition is justified by a plain procedural bar and jurists of reason would not find the
15	procedural ruling debatable.
16	Dated this 11th day of August, 2022.
17	A. Mussan Suou
18	G. Murray Snow
19	Chief United States District Judge
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	